# Levett-Therivel sustainability consultants

# Sustainability appraisal of the main modifications to the Submission Wyre Forest District Local Plan (2016 – 2036)

## September 2021

28A North Hinksey Lane, Oxford OX2 0LX, riki@levett-therivel.co.uk, 07759 13588

#### **Table of Contents**

1.	Background	2
2.	Identifying main modifications with significant sustainability implications	5
3.	Appraisal of main modifications with sustainability implications	7
	ndix A. Re-appraisal of policies with major modifications that have significant nability implications	16

#### 1. Background

This report is a sustainability appraisal of the Main Modifications proposed for the Submission Wyre Forest District Local Plan. It identifies those modifications that could affect the sustainability of the plan; assesses these modifications in light of the previous sustainability appraisal of the Pre-Submission Local Plan; and discusses the overall impacts of the Submission Local Plan.

The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 and the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 require strategic environmental assessment (SEA) and sustainability appraisal (SA) of a range of plans and programmes, including "modifications to plans [where] they are likely to have significant environmental effects".

A SA/SEA was prepared by Levett-Therivel for the Wyre Forest Pre-Submission Local Plan in 2019. It is available at:

- Main SA/SEA report: http://archive.wyreforestdc.gov.uk/media/4656968/Sustainability-Appraisal-Report-July-2019-.pdf
- Site appraisals (App B the main SA/SEA report): http://archive.wyreforestdc.gov.uk/media/5044291/Sustainability-Appraisal-Report-App-B-site-appraisals-July-2019- optV2.pdf
- Non-technical summary of the main SA/SEA report: http://archive.wyreforestdc.gov.uk/media/4656965/Sustainability-Appraisal-Report-Non-Technical-Summary-July-2019-.pdf
- June 2020 update to the SA/SEA report: www.wyreforestdc.gov.uk/media/mctjmva1/ed5-wyre-forest-sa-sea-june-2020-final.pdf

These documents form the basis for this SA/SEA. The 2019 SA/SEA used the framework of Table 1 to appraise the plan objectives, alternatives and policies; and the framework of Table 2 to appraise the proposed allocation sites.

The Pre-Submission Local Plan and its SA/SEA report were submitted for examination in April 2020. Hearing sessions on the plan took place in January and February 2021. A note from the inspector was received on 22 February. Subsequently, the planning team prepared main and minor modifications to the plan: the main modifications are the subject of this sustainability appraisal. A public consultation on the proposed main modifications will be held for six weeks in autumn 2021.

Table 1. SA Framework for the plan objectives, alternatives and policies

SA objective	Decision Making Criteria: will the option/policy
1) To improve health and well-being	Improve access to health care facilities?
within the District and reduce	Help to improve quality of life for residents?
inequalities in health.	Help to increase participation in sport and active recreation?
2. To improve and enhance the	Enhance the provision of local services and facilities?
provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	Contribute to rural service provision across the District?
services and facilities	Enhance accessibility to the District's countryside?

SA objective	Decision Making Criteria: will the option/policy							
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and	Provide opportunities to increase the supply of affordable housing across the District?							
communities are met	Provide affordable access to a range of housing tenures and sizes?							
4. To promote energy efficiency &	Reduce greenhouse gas emissions?							
energy generated from renewable and low carbon sources.	Encourage renewable energy generation?							
and low carbon sources.	Encourage waste recycling?							
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable	Provide opportunities to increase sustainable modes of travel and reduce congestion?							
travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	Focus development in existing centres and make use of existing infrastructure to reduce the need to travel?							
6. To protect soil & land	Re-use brownfield land?							
	Result in a loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land?							
	Have a detrimental impact on air quality?							
	Have a detrimental impact on water quality?							
7. To protect water resources and	Protect the floodplain from development?							
quality; reduce flood risk.	Reduce the risk of flooding in existing developed areas?							
8. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape	Achieve high quality, sustainable design for buildings, spaces and the public realm which is sensitive to the locality?							
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and	Help to safeguard the District's biodiversity and geodiversity?							
geodiversity	Impact on SSSIs and other designated sites?							
	Contribute to the District's green infrastructure network?							
10. To support the economy &	Reduce unemployment?							
ensure suitable employment opportunities	Provide opportunities for businesses to develop and enhance their competitiveness?							
	Provide opportunities to further develop adult and community learning facilities in the District?							
11. To protect & enhance the historic environment & its settings	Have a positive impact on the District's Heritage Assets?							
12. To maintain the integrity of the Green Belt within the District.	Protect the Green Belt?							
13.To maintain & enhance community & settlement identities	Maintain and enhance community and settlement identities?							

	Major negative compared to the current situation – Problematic sustainability issues, mitigation difficult and/or expensive.
-	Minor negative compared to the current situation – Potential sustainability issues, mitigation possible
+	Minor positive compared to the current situation – No sustainability constraints.
++	Major positive compared to the current situation – Development would resolve an existing sustainability problem.
?	Uncertain – Uncertain or unknown effect.
0	Neutral – Neutral effect.
+/-	Both positive and negative

Table 2. SA Framework for the plan site allocations

i abie z. SA f	-iailiework fo	or the plan site	anocation	12	44	?
2. Local		Poor access,	Reasonab	Good	++	
services and facilities		as judged by the HELAA form	le access, as judged by the HELAA form	access, as judged by the HELAA form		
3. Housing needs				Housing site <40ha	Housing site >40ha	Mixed use site, or not stated
5. Need to travel		Poor public transport access as judged by the HELAA form; within 200m of AQMA	Reasonab le public transport access, as judged by the HELAA form	Good public transport access, as judged by the HELAA form		
6. Soil and land		Greenfield; grade 2 agricultural land; development could affect other soil/land	Part greenfield , part brownfield	Brownfield		? possible contami- nation
7. Water and flooding	Mostly/all in flood zone 2 or 3; flagged up as significant concern by water cycle study	Partly in flood zone 2 or significant surface water flooding; in aquifer protection zone or similar	Not in flood or protection zones			Flagged up as possible concern by water cycle study; incon- sistency between planner knowledge and water cycle study
8. Landscape	Significant negative effect on many people	Some negative effect	Little/no effect	Would improve the streetscape		
9. Biodiversity	Adjacent to or on designated nature conservation site; other significant cumulative impact on biodiversity	Within 500m of SSSI or similar effect; affects BAP species; affects sig- nificant tree preservation order	No significant impact	Potential for improvement		
10. Economy			No potential	Proposed employment development		Unclear whether employment or other uses

		-	0	+	++	?
11. Historic env	Impact on Grade I, II, II*; judged by heritage officer to have significant impacts on heritage incl. archa- eology	Impact on undesignated heritage assets; judged by heritage officer to have impacts on heritage incl. archaeology	No impact			
12. Green Belt		In Green Belt	Not in Green Belt			
13. Community & settlement identities		Outside built area; affects asset of community value	Adjoins built area	In built area		

#### 2. Identifying main modifications with significant sustainability implications

In July 2021, Levett-Therivel reviewed the main modifications made to the plan to identify, on a preliminary basis, those changes to policies and site allocations that could have significant implications on sustainability. These were discussed with the Local Plan Team: see Table 3. The remaining modifications – those that are not appraised here - are primarily changes of wording to clarify the policy or make it consistent with national guidance; reference to other parts of the plan, or removal of such references; addition or updating of factual information; removal of sites that have already been developed or are under construction; or other changes that are unlikely to have significant sustainability implications.

Table 3. Main modifications with sustainability implications

Main modification	Significant sustainability change
MM6.4 MM6.8	Policy 6A. The housing land supply 'buffer' changed from 15% to 20%, and total housing supply increased to 6734, mainly through amendments to the capacity of sites and to reallocation from employment to housing – see Table
MM8.1	6.0.2 (sources of supply).  Policy 8A. Density for all developments expected to be minimum 35 dwellings per hectare (dph). Indicative densities added for Kidderminster town centre (70dph), Kidderminster near town centre and railway station (50+dpa), Stourport (50dph) and Bewdley (35dph).
MM8.2	Policy 8B. Affordable housing provision required for 'major development sites' or sites in rural areas of more than 5 dwellings. The planning team confirmed that this is equivalent to the previous policy which required affordable housing for 10+homes or more than 0.5 hectare. No re-appraisal needed.
MM8.2	Policy 8B. Vacant building credit no longer requires site to not have been in continuous use for any 6 months for 5+ years. The planning team suggested that this is unlikely to significantly affect the deliverability or sustainability of the policy. No re-appraisal needed.

Main modification	Significant sustainability change
	Deliev 9C Durel expension sites only permitted if 1000/ efferdeble
MM8.4	Policy 8C. Rural exception sites only permitted if 100% affordable.
MM10.1	Policy 10A. Small scale (<500m² gross) of retail, commercial or leisure uses
	in rural areas to be supported. These points were moved from Policy 10B
	since Policy 10B is about town centre development. The policy clarifies that
NANAA 4 4	area is gross, not net. No significant change overall.
MM14.1	Policy 14. Land between Kidderminster and Stourport to be safeguarded and
	implemented as Burlish Country Park. Development proposals that would
NANAA F O	prejudice the provision of the country park will not be permitted.
MM15.3	Policy 15B. Proposals should aim to improve water quality, not just protect it.
MM16.4	Policies 16B and 16C. Reasoned justification lists site allocations where
	implications on waste management facilities and/or minerals need to be
NANAAO O	considered and measures possibly put in place.
MM18.2	Policy 18B. Infill development in villages and rural settlements should only be
	to meet local needs, and should not be inappropriate development in the
NANAOO 4	Green Belt.
MM20.1	Policy 20A. New information about requirements for community facilities.
	The planning team noted that this simply clarifies and documents what would
MM22.1	happen in practice. No re-appraisal needed.
IVIIVIZZ. I	Policy 22A. Removal of requirement for development in Primary Shopping Frontage area to not result in an adverse cluster of non-retail uses at ground
	floor level (the 'more than two out of seven rule' previously set out in the
	reasoned justification).
MM22.7	Policy 22C. Removal of policy on change of use from retail to alternative
IVIIVIZZ.1	uses to reflect Use Class Order Policy. <i>Policy removed, not re-appraised</i>
MM22.8	Policy 22D. As MM10.1, also existing retail and commercial units in village or
IVIIVIZZ.O	neighbourhood centres to be safeguarded.
MM27.11	Policy 27E. Policy changed from overall promotion of a canal towpath to a
10110127.11	statement that development in proximity to the canal should develop a
	pedestrian and cycle route. <i>The planning team confirmed that both</i>
	approaches would promote the canal towpath as a pedestrian and cycle
	route, so there would be no difference in the sustainability of the two
	approaches. No re-appraisal needed.
MM30.6	Policy 30.5 Land at Bromsgrove Street (BHS/2) - change of allocation to
	include Creative Hub and rationalisation of car parking.
MM30.7	Policy 30.6 Timber Yard (BHS/16) - Information added about design of future
	bridge.
MM30.10	Policy 30.11 Sladen School (BW/3) allocated for mixed use (school +
	residential) rather than solely residential.
MM30.13	Policy 30.16 Severn Grove Shops (FPH/15) – removal of need to replace
MM30.17	
	i i
	brown hare need to be considered.
MM30.18	Policy 30.22 Land rear of Zortech Avenue (LI/10) to be allocated for Gypsy
	accommodation.
MM30.19	Policy 30.24 Rock Works (BHS/17) - change of allocation from carpet
	heritage to residential.
MM30.25	Policy 30.29 Former Burlish Golf Course (LI/12), allocated for travelling
	showpeople, needs drainage and sewerage measures to be agreed, and the
	scale of development should meet only the specific housing needs of the
	existing family.
MM30.17 MM30.18 MM30.19	shopping facilities.  Policy 30.21 Land at Lower Habberley (WA/KF/3) – addition of requirement that the impact of development on the nature reserve and Local Wildlife Site should be balanced through biodiversity net gain, and impact on bats and brown hare need to be considered.  Policy 30.22 Land rear of Zortech Avenue (LI/10) to be allocated for Gypsy accommodation.  Policy 30.24 Rock Works (BHS/17) - change of allocation from carpet heritage to residential.  Policy 30.29 Former Burlish Golf Course (LI/12), allocated for travelling showpeople, needs drainage and sewerage measures to be agreed, and the scale of development should meet only the specific housing needs of the

Main	Significant sustainability change
modification	
MM31.3	Policy 31.2 Lea Castle Village – Requirement for a heritage survey added.
MM32.3	Policy 32.2 Comberton Lodge Nursery – boundaries of the site changed.
MM33.4	Policy 33.4 Queens Road shops (AKR/10) - removal of need to replace
	shopping facilities.
MM33.14	Policy 33.18 Parsons Chain (MI/3) - change of allocation from mixed use to
	residential with care home
MM34.2	Policy 34.1 Bewdley Fire Station (BR/BE/1) – change of allocation from
	residential to food store with residential apartments above
MM34.3	Policy 34.4 Catchem's End (WA/BE/3) – removal of requirement that western
	site is allocated as open space (site boundaries changed) and that Riddings
	Brook opening should be investigated.

Further modifications were made to the plan in August 2021, in response to the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in July 2021<sup>1</sup>. Most of these changes involved updated references to the NPPF: none required re-appraisal. The only other substantive change was a revision of housing numbers in Policy 6A from 6,365 to 6,734, partly as a result of amendments to site capacities and reallocation of sites from employment to housing, but mostly due to windfall approvals since April 2019: this did not require further appraisal because of its minor nature.

#### 3. Appraisal of the main modifications with sustainability implications

The same appraisal approach and frameworks used in 2019 were also used to appraise the sustainability impacts of the main modifications with sustainability implications. The SA framework of Table 1 was used to re-appraise major modifications 6.4 - 22.8 of Table 3, which relate to changes to the plan policies; and the SA framework of Table 2 was used to re-appraise major modifications 30.6 - 34.3 of Table 3, which relate to changes to the site allocations.

Appendix A shows the re-appraisals. Most of the appraisal findings remained the same despite the main modifications. Tables 4 and 5 show those changes that were made.

Table 4. Sustainability impacts of the Wyre Forest District Local Plan policies (changes due to modifications are circled in yellow)

Policy	<u></u>					air							<u>+</u>	ties
		1. Health	2. Services	3. Housing	4. Energy	5. Transport, a	6. Soil, land	7. Water	8. Landscape	9. Biodiversity	10. Economy	11. Heritage	12. Green Belt	13. Communities
5A	Sustainable Development		?	+		?	?	?	?	?	+	?	?	
6A	Development needs 2016–2036	+	?	++		1		-	-		+		-	0?
6B	Locating new development		0	+		+-	0		0		+		0	+
6C	Kidderminster town as centre		+			?					++			?
6E	Role of Stourport and Bewdley	+	+	+		+-					+	+	1	
6F/36	Role of villages and rural areas		0	?	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1005759/NPPF\_July\_2021.pdf

Policy						air							±	Communities
			က္	0		Transport,	þ		8. Landscape	Biodiversity	10. Economy	ge	Green Belt	iuni
		ţ	Services	Housing	Energy	bds	Soil, land	Ē	JSC	ĕ	ouc	11. Heritage	en	ш
		1. Health	e S	no	ne	ran	oil,	7. Water	anc	jod	ВČ	He	Gre	ဝိ
		<u> </u>	2. S	3. H	4. E	5. T	e. S	\ <u></u>	Ϊ.	9. B	o.	<del>-</del>	2	13.
7A	Strategic Green Belt Review	_	+-	<del>က</del> +	4	+-	9		-	<u>ි</u>	+-	-	-	0
7B	Reserved housing sites in G Belt		•	+		+-	-	_	-	-		-	_	0
8A	Housing density and mix	+	?	++		_					+			0?
8B	Affordable housing provision	+-		+-		+-					+-			+
8C	Addressing rural housing needs		+	+		-	-?	-?	?	-?	+	-?	-	+
8D	Self build and custom housing			+										+
8E	Housing for older people etc.	?		?										?
8F	Gypsy & Traveller site provision			?										
8G	Site provision for travel. show.	0		0					0	0			-	
9	Health and wellbeing	+	0	+	0	+								0
10A	Diverse local economy	+				+	-?	-?	?:	?:	++	-?	-?	+
10B	Town centre development		+	0		0			?		+			
10C	Sustainable tourism		+			-?	0	0	0	0	+	0	?	
11A	Quality design & distinctiveness								0			0		0
11B	Historic environment								0			0		0
11C	Landscape character								+			+		+
11D	Protecting & enhancing biodiver.	+		-			+	+	+	+	-		+	+
11E	Protecting & enhancing geologic.						0		0	0				
11F	Regenerating the waterways	+							+			+		+
12	Strategic infrastructure	0	0	0							0			
13	Transport and accessibility	0	0			0					0			
14	Strategic green infrastructure	+		-	?	+-(	+	+	+	+	)-			0
15A	Water conservation & efficiency	?		0				+		0	+-			
15B	Sewerage & water quality	0	0	0				0		0	0			
15C	Flood risk management	0	0	+-				+		0	+-			
15D	Sustainable Drainage Systems	0	0	+-			0	+		0	+-			
16A 16B	Pollution and land instability	?	+-	+-	0	+-	0	0	0	0	+-	0		
16C	Minerals Waste		+	+-	+	+-	U	U	0	U	0	U		
18A	Financial viability		Т	+	Т				U		U			+
18B	Residential infill development		0	+	0	0	+-		+-	+-		0?	0	+-
18C	Flat conversions	?	J	+	0	+-	+					0:		?
18D	Residential caravans & mobile	+-		+-	+	'								?
19	Site standards for Gypsies etc.	0	0	+					0					•
20A	Community facilities	0	+			0						0		0
20B	Open space	+				0	0	0	+	0				0
20C	Provision for open space etc.	+				0	0	0	+	0		+		+
21A	Urban employment land	+				0		-	-	-	++	-		+
21B	Rural employment					?	0	0	-	0	+	0	-	+
21C	Live work units & homeworking					+	0	0	0	0	+			+
21D	Offices					0	0	0	0	0	+	0		
22A	Town centre development		+?	0		0			?		+			
22B	Out of town development		0			0			0		0			0
<del>22C</del>	Change of use from retail to alt.		0			0								0
22D	Local shops		+			+								+
22E	Specialist retailing		?			?			0				0	+?
22F	Food and drink retailing		?											0
22G	Hot food takeaways	0	?											0
23A	Support major tourist attraction		+			-			0	0	+	0	0	
23B	Supporting tourist attractions		+			-?	0	0	0	0	+	0	?	
23C	Tourist accommodation			0		?	0	0	0	0	+		?	

Policy		1. Health	2. Services	3. Housing	4. Energy	5. Transport, air	6. Soil, land	7. Water	8. Landscape	9. Biodiversity	10. Economy	11. Heritage	12. Green Belt	13. Communities
24A	Telecommunicat. & broadband		+			+			-		+			
24B	Renewable & low carbon energy	+		-	++		-?		-?	-?	+	0?		+
25	Safeguarding the Green Belt		+-	+-		+	0	0	0	0	+-	0	++	0
26	Safeguarding the historic environ								0			0		0
27A	Quality design & local distinctive.	0	0						0			0		0
27B	Design of extensions & alteration								0			0		0
27C	Landscaping & boundary treatm	0						?	+	?-				+
27D	Advertisements	0							0					
27E	Wyre Forest waterways	+				0		0	+	0		0		0
28A	Reuse & adaptation of rural build			0		ı	0	0	ı	0	+	0	ı	+
28B	Chalets, caravans, mobile home			0		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
28C	Equestrian development								0	0			0	
28D	Agricultural land quality						0	0			?			
31/6D	Lea Castle Village	+	+	++	0	+-		0?	+-	+?	+	-?		+-
32/6D	Kidderminster Eastern Extension	+	+	++	0	+-		0?	+-	+?	0	-?		+-

Table 5. Sustainability impacts of the Wyre Forest District Local Plan site allocations (changes due to modifications are circled in yellow)<sup>2</sup>

Site		Local services	Housing	Travel	Soil & land	Water & flooding	Landscape	Biodiversity	Employment	Historic	Green Belt	Community
Kiddermins	ter Town (Policy 30)					ı		ı			ı	
AS/1	Comberton Place	+	?	+	?	?	+	+	?	-	0	+
AS/3	Chester Road SSS	+	+	_	?	?	+	+	0	0?	0	+
AS/5	Victoria Sports Ground	+	+	+	_			_	0	<del>0?</del>	0	+
AS/6	Lea St School	+	+	+/-	+	0	-	0	0	-	0	+
AS/20	N of Bernie C. Walk	0	+	0	-	-		-	0	0?	0	+
BHS/2	Bromsgrove St	+	?	+/-	+?	-	+	+	0		0	+
BHS/10	Frank Stone building	+	?	+/-	+?		-	+	0	-	0	+
BHS/11	Green St Depot	+	?	+	+?		0	-	?	-	0	+
BHS/16	Timber Yard Park Lane	+	?	+	+?		+	-	?		0	+
BHS/17	Rock Works	+	+	+	+?	-	+	-	?		0	+
BHS/18	Blakebrook School	+	+	+	+	-	0	-	0	-	0	+/-
BHS/38	Kidderminster Fire Station	+	?	+	+	-	-	-	?		0	+
BHS/39	Boucher Building	+	?	+/-	+	-	+	-	?		0	+
BW/1	Churchfields	+	2		+	0	+/-	+/-	2		0	+
BW/2	Limekiln Bridge	+	?	+/-	+?	0	+	+/-	?		0	+
BW/3	Sladen School	+	+	+	-	0	+	-	0	0?	0	+
BW/4	Stourbridge Road ADR	0	+	0	-	0	-		0	0	-	0
FHN/11	BT building Mill Street	+	+	+	<del>+?</del>		+	-	0	-	0	+
FPH/5	Ambulance Station	+	+	+	+	-	+	+/-	0	0	0	+
FPH/8	SDF and adjacent land	+	?	+	?	0	+		+	0	0	+
FPH/10	British Sugar Phase 2	+	?	+	<del>+?</del>	0	0	+/-	2	-	0	+
FPH/15	Severn Gr shops rifle range	+		+	+	0	+	-	?	0	0	+
FPH/18	Naylors Field Sutton Park Ri	+	+	+	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-
FPH/19	164/5 Sutton Park Road	+	+	+	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	+/-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Those sites that are crossed out refer to sites that are completed or under construction, or to otherwise reflect the actual situation in relation to the site.

9

Site		Local services	Housing	Travel	Soil & land	Water & flooding	Landscape	Biodiversity	Employment	Historic	Green Belt	Community
FPH/23	British Sugar Phase 1 remai	+	0	+	+?	?	0	-	+	0	0	+
FPH/24	Romwire Site	0	0	+	+?		0	-	+	0	0	+
FPH/27	Adj Easter Park, Worcester	0	0	+	-	?	0	0	+	0	-	+
FPH/28	Hoobrook Site	+	0	0	<del>+</del> ?	0	+	_	+	02	0	+
FPH/29	VOSA Worcester Road	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0?	0	+
LI/10	Land r/o Zortech Avenue	0	?	+	_	?	+	-	0	0	-	0
LI/12	Frmr Burlish Golf Clubhouse	+	+	+	+	?	?	-	0	0?	-	0
LI/13	Land off Zortech Avenue	+	0	+	_	0	-		+	0	-	0
MI/26	Ratio Park, Finepoint	+	0	+	-	-	+	-	+	0	0	+
MI/34	Oakleaf, Finepoint	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	0	0	+
OC/11	Stourminster School	0	+	+	+	<u>-2</u>	-	_2	0	0	0	0
WA/KF/3	Land at Low Habberley	-	++	-	-	-			0	0	-	0
WFR/WC/18	Sion Hill School	+	+	+	+		- ?		0	0		+
	llage (Policy 31)	+	+	+	+	-	÷	-	₩	U U	-	+
WFR/WC/15		0			_				0			
	Lea Castle Hospital	0	++	0	?	0	0	-	0	-	-	0
WFR/WC/32	Lea Castle East A451	-	+	0	-	0		-	0	-	-	-
WFR/WC/33	Lea Castle West A449	0	?	0	-	?	-	-	0	-	-	0
WFR/WC/34	Lea Castle North Axbr Ln	-	+	-	-	?		0?	0	0?	-	-
Kidderminste	r Eastern Extension (Policy 3											_
OC/5	Husum Way	0	+	+	-	-	-	-?	0	-	-	0
OC/6	r/o Offmore	+	+	+	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0
OC/12	Comberton Lodge	0	+	0	+		0		0	0	-	0
OC/13	Land at Stone Hill North	0	++	0			-		0	0?	-	0
	Severn (Policy 33)											
AKR/2	Cheapside	+	?	+/-	+?	?	0	-	?		0	+
AKR/7	Swan Hotel/Working Mens C	+	?	+/-	+	-	+	0	?	-	0	+
AKR/10	Queens Road shops	+	(+)	+	+	-	0	0	?	0	0	+/-
AKR/14	Pearl Lane	0	+	+	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0
AKR/20	Carpets of Worth	+	+	+/-	+?	-	+	-	0	-	0	+
LI/11	W of former school, Consiton	+	+	+	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	0
MI/1	County Buildings	+	+	+/-	+	0	+	0	0	-	0	+
MI/3	Parsons Chain	+	+	+/-	+?	-	0		?	-	0	+
MI/5	Baldwin Road	+	+	+/-	+		+	-	0		0	+
MI/6	Steatite Way	+	2	+/-	+	<del>?</del>	+	0	θ	0	0	+
MI/7	Worcester Rd Car Sales, S	0	?	0	+?	0	+	-	0	0	0	+
MI/10	Four Acres ADR	0	+	-	+	-	+		0	0?	0	+
MI/11	3 Sandy Lane Titton	-	+	-	+	0	0		0	0?	0	+
MI/24	Adj. Rock Tavern Wilden Ln	+	+	+	-	0	0	_	0	0	-	+
MI/36	Firs Yard Wilden Lane	0	0	0	+		-		0	?	-	-
MI/38	School site Coniston Cres	+	+	+	0	?	0	0	0	0	-	0
Bewdley (Pol		т	т .	т	U	- 1	U	U	U	0		U
BR/BE/1	Bewdley Fire Station			+/-	?		_	_	0		0	0
WA/BE/1	Stourport Road triangle	+	+	+/-	-	-			0	-	-	
WA/BE/3	Catchem's End	0	+	+		0	+	-	0			+
WA/BE/5	Land S of Habberley Road	0	+	+	-		0	- -?	0	0	-	0
					-	-	U	- !	U	U	-	U
Previously de	eveloped sites in the Green Be	eit (PO	iicy 35									
	Rushock Trading Estate		Cont	inuatio	n of ex	isting	plannir	ng poli	cies or	these	sites	
MED OT 'S	West Midlands Safari Park					_						
WFR/ST/9	Cursley Distribution Park	-	?	-	0	-	0	0	0	-	0	-
	rural areas (Policy 36)					_						
BR/RO/2	Lem Hill Nurseries	0	+	-	0	?	?	-	0	0	0	-
BR/RO/21	Alton Nurseries	-	+	0	+	0	?	-	0	0	0	-
WA/UA/1	Bellmans Cross Shatterford	-	+	-	+/-	0	-	-	0	-?	-	-
WA/UA/4	Allotments Upper Arley	+	+	+	-	0	-	-	0		-	-
WA/UA/6	Red Lion Ct, Bridgnorth Rd	-	+	-	+	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
WFR/CB/2	Station Yard Blakedown	+	+	+	+?	0	0	?	0	-	0	-?

Site		Local services	Housing	Travel	Soil & land	Water & flooding	Landscape	Biodiversity	Employment	Historic	Green Belt	Community
WFR/CB/3	Land off Station Dr, Blakedo.	+	+	+	-	0	-	-?	0	-	-	0
WFR/CC/8	Fold Farm Chaddesley Corb	+	+	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-
WFR/WC/22	Lowe Lane Fairfield ADR*	0	?	+	-	?	-	0	0	-	-	0
WFR/WC/36	Rock Tavern car p Caunsall	0	+	+	+	?	0	0	0	-	-	+
WFR/WC/37	Land at Caunsall Road	0	+	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	0
Reserved (Po	licy 7B)											
WFR/WC/10	Kimberlee Avenue ADR	+	+	+	-	?	-	ı	0	0	0	-
WFR/WC/12	Lawnswood Cookley	+	+	+	-	?	-	1	0	-	-	-
WFR/WC/22	Lowe Lane Fairfield ADR*	0	?	+	-	?	-	0	0	-	0	0
WFR/WC/23	Hayes Road ADR	+	+	+	-	0	-	1	0	0	0	0
MI/21	Wilden Top ADR	-	+	-	-	0		-	0	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup> The southern part of the site is allocated as allotments in policy 36; the northern part is a reserved housing site.

The main sustainability-related changes of the main modifications are:

- An increase in the amount of allocated housing;
- A slight decrease in the amount of allocated employment land;
- Removal of some requirements to replace shop units, primarily because the shop units were felt to be unviable:
- Better safeguarding of land for the Burlish Country Park, now in council ownership;
- Reduction in Green Belt land taken by 12ha<sup>3</sup>, to 234ha;
- Increase in the greenfield land taken by 5ha, to 207ha.

Table 6 shows the plan's overall impacts on the various dimensions of sustainability. Overall, the plan's impacts are expected to be neutral or positive. The plan aims to protect the environment – soil, water, biodiversity, the landscape, heritage and the Green Belt. It has many positive impacts, particularly in terms of health, housing, the economy and communities. The plan has limited control over energy use and production, but the policies that it does have on these topics would have a positive impact. Most of the plan's negative environmental impacts come from its policies about housing and economic development: to deliver the legally-required housing numbers and associated employment land, the plan proposes more development in both urban and rural areas, with some development in the Green Belt.

Table 6. Overall impacts of the Wyre Forest District Local Plan (changes due to the modifications are shown in red)

1. Health	+	The plan supports healthy active lifestyles. It aims to maximise the
		delivery of green infrastructure, and provide housing and employment
		sites in locations that are close to existing services. This encourages
		walking and cycling, as well as reducing the reliance on cars with their
		associated air pollution problems. The policies on open spaces and
		sports pitches will help to counter the district's higher-than-average
		obesity levels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Comberton Lodge Nursery and western parcel at Catchem's End are now remaining in the Green Belt. Also, several parts of the Eastern Extension site which are not being developed are now to remain in the Green Belt.

2. Services	0	The plan focuses development on the existing towns, which helps to ensure that services will be available to the new residents. It particularly focuses on Kidderminster which has the widest range of retail, employment and services. The large new development site at Lea Castle will be expected to provide a new school and other services. Only a few housing sites in rural areas do not have good access to services, and these have been included because of the strong need for rural housing.
3. Housing	++	The delivery of at least 5,520 6,734 dwellings (5,560 of these on allocated sites) <sup>4</sup> will have significant benefits for housing. It will help to provide for the district's objectively assessed housing need, including affordable and specialist housing. The plan seeks to ensure that there is a mix of dwelling type for both market and affordable dwellings to meet the need within the district. It also proposes one new site for travelling showpeople, and two sites providing 20 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers (Firs Yard MI/36 and land rear of Zortech Avenue LI/10).
4. Energy	+/-	The plan aims to minimise the need to use energy through good design, and to increase the production of renewable energy. It also aims to minimise the need to travel by private car, so reducing energy use. Overall, the plan is likely to reduce per person energy use but, because of the increase in the population, it is unlikely to reduce overall energy use.
5. Transport, air	+/-	The plan policies seek to maximise walking, cycling and the use of public transport by locating development near services and providing attractive, safe walking and cycling paths. Most of the proposed development is in Kidderminster or in urban extensions to Kidderminster, with most of the remaining development going to Stourport and Bewdley. The Station Yard Blakedown site WFR/CB/3 will allow train station parking at Blakedown to be increased, supporting the use of public transport. The development sites generally avoid being in, or exacerbating the situation in, air quality management areas. Again, the plan is likely to reduce per person car use, but not overall car use because of the increase in population.
6. Soil, land	-	Where possible, the plan uses previously developed, currently unused or under-used land for housing and employment sites. Previously developed land is generally in sustainable locations close to existing services and facilities which reduces the need to travel, this in turn helps the traffic issues and air quality. Using previously developed land means that less greenfield land is needed for development, less agricultural land would be developed, and more of the district remains rural. Still, the plan proposes the development of 33 28 greenfield sites totalling 202 207ha of greenfield land <sup>5</sup> . The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The original number of 5,520 represented 20 years of the annual requirement of 276 dwellings/year. The Local Plans Expert Group recommended to Government in March 2016 that local plans should provide a 20% 'buffer' to ensure that the necessary number of homes are delivered. In addition, some development has already occurred in the first 5 years of the Plan period, including on windfall sites (i.e. sites not allocated in the Plan that become available for development unexpectedly over the remainder of the Plan period to 2036); some of the sites in the Plan have been allocated for increased housing; and some sites have been changed from other uses to housing. As such, the footprint of land allocated for development would not increase. To this total will be added windfall housing.

<sup>5</sup> This includes the 5 Reserved Housing Sites, 2 employment sites, 2 completed sites (BW/4 and AS/5), 3 small rural sites which will remain in the Green Belt, plus the 3 sites surrounding Lea Castle Hospital core and the 3 greenfield sites of the Eastern Extension.

		Kidderminster East sites account for 43 42% of this (87.6 88.1ha), although about half of this will be left undeveloped. The Lea Castle site accounts for 28 27% (55.9ha). The only other larger site is Pearl Lane AKR/14 with 15.1ha. The remaining greenfield sites are all less than 40 6ha in size.
7. Water	+/-	A water cycle study (JBA 2018) shows that Severn Trent Water will have enough water supply infrastructure in place to meet the needs of Wyre Forest's residents under the proposed Local Plan. The plan aims to ensure that appropriate infrastructure is provided to protect water quality and water resources from the impact of new development, and ideally improve them. It also aims to reduce flooding, for instance through the use of sustainable drainage systems. The policy on regenerating the waterways will help to protect water quality and promote enjoyment of the water environment. Still, 12 allocation sites (two of which are already under construction) are likely to have significant flood- or wastewater-related constraints or problems.
8. Landscape	+/-	The plan policies seek to ensure that new development is well designed and high quality, that it is well landscaped, and that existing built development is protected. Development of some of the district's brownfield sites could have a significant improvement visually as the unused sites are developed and improve the surrounding area. However, seven of the development sites are likely to have significant landscape impacts, including the large Lea Castle sites.
9. Biodiversity	+/-	The plan policies on green infrastructure, reducing the need to travel, green infrastructure, sustainable drainage etc. will help to protect existing biodiversity. The policy on protecting and enhancing biodiversity, and the 40% Green Infrastructure requirements for the two new urban extensions aim to actively improve biodiversity, as does safeguarding of land for Burlish Country Park. However ten sites are near or on sites designated for nature conservation <sup>6</sup> .
10. Economy	++	The plan supports a range of types of employment, including rural employment, high quality offices, retail, live-work, small firms, tourism and industrial. It provides 35 31.4ha of employment land: this is enough land for existing businesses to expand and to encourage new business into the District.
11. Heritage	?	The plan policy on heritage aims to protect and make sympathetic use of heritage assets. This also helps to protect community identities. Thirteen of the allocated sites could have a significant impact on heritage assets. Two conservation areas are affected by more than one site: Green Street (BHS/2, BHS/38, BHS/39), and Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal (BHS/16, BHS/17, BW/1, BW/2) <sup>7</sup> .

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The sites with the most obvious potential for biodiversity impacts are:

BW/4 Stourbridge Road ADR: southern part of site will be kept as a green gap between the development and the SSSI

MI/36 Firs Yard: existing gypsy and traveler site included in the plan to regularize/manage its impacts

MI/10 Four Acres ADR (existing caravan site allowing 11.5 month residency) and Three Sandy Lane MI/11 (former scrapyard plus one dwelling). MI/10 will be formally allocated as a caravan site and MI/11 is only 0.32ha. When redeveloped, together they should have no more of a cumulative impact on the Hartlebury Common and Hillditch Coppice SSSI than the current developments do.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> All of these sites are previously developed, some with derelict buildings. Policies for all the sites refer to the conservation area.

12. Green	+/-	The plan aims to protect and support the role of the Green Belt
Belt		through a strategic review. The plan recognises the conflict between
		retaining the Green Belt as it currently is and providing enough land
		for development. It provides for 23 22 Green Belt sites totalling 246
		234ha <sup>8</sup> , equivalent to 2.1% of the Green Belt. Of this, Lea Castle
		Village accounts for 49 51% (120ha) <sup>9</sup> , the wider Eastern Extension
		area for 32 31% (79 72.4ha). No other site exceeds 10ha. This
		approach of allocating Green Belt sites will help to prevent
		speculative development elsewhere in the district in less sustainable
		locations.
13.	+	The plan's Chapter 6 policies on the individual towns and
Communities		communities in the district aim to maintain and enhance the identities
		of these settlements. The plan provides most of the new housing and
		employment sites in the district's larger settlements rather than in the
		smaller rural settlements, so avoiding overwhelming any one location.
		The rural developments aim to maintain the vitality of the towns. The
		two major new development areas are at Lea Castle and east of
		Kidderminster. The plan provides for these areas to be new
		communities with associated services. A limited number of allocated
		sites, mostly in the rural areas, are not adjacent to any settlement.

**Secondary or indirect effects** are effects that are not a direct result of the plan, but occur away from the original effect or as a result of a complex pathway. Examples of secondary effects are a development that changes a water table and thus affects the ecology of a nearby wetland; and construction of one project that facilitates or attracts other developments. Key indirect effects of the Wyre Forest District Local Plan include:

- Provision of housing to meet the objectively assessed need, thereby helping to improve people's health and wellbeing, and supporting the vitality of communities.
- Provision of about 5,520 new at least 6,734 dwellings<sup>10</sup> and 35 31.4ha of employment land, with indirect impacts on agricultural land, water, landscape, biodiversity and the heritage.
- Provision of a balance of housing and employment land, mostly on sites that are
  accessible by non-car modes and near existing services, thereby reducing the need
  to travel, with associated benefits for health and air quality.
- Support for a limited number of Green Belt sites in accessible locations, and for significant extensions to the north and east of Kidderminster, thereby reducing the likelihood of piecemeal development in the district, and helping to ensure that developments have adequate infrastructure and services.
- The green infrastructure policy, coupled with Policies 31 and 32 on Lea Castle and Kidderminster Eastern Extensions, will help to deliver a range of accessible green spaces.

**Cumulative effects** arise, for instance, where several developments each have insignificant effects but together have a significant effect; or where several individual effects of the plan (e.g. noise, dust and visual) have a combined effect. Key cumulative impacts of the plan are:

 Provision of about 5,520 6,734 new dwellings to deal with the district's objectively assessed housing need.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See footnote 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Lea Castle Village is made up of four different sites, of which three are on greenfield land. Part of the Eastern Extension will remain in the Green Belt, accounting for the fact that the Green Belt land take is less than the greenfield land take.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See footnote 4.

- Provision of <del>35</del> 31.4ha of employment land.
- Impacts on the Green Belt from 23 22 sites totalling 246 234ha.
- Impacts on greenfield land from 33 28 sites totalling 202 207ha.
- Impacts on the Green Street, Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal, and Stourport No. 1 conservation areas from multiple development sites in/near these areas.
- Impacts on the Hartlebury Common and Hillditch Coppice SSSI from two development sites (Parsons Chain MI/3 and Sandy Lane MI/11).
- Air pollution impacts of traffic from new development in addition to the poor air quality already in the air quality management areas.
- The increase in population in the district will affect local services. The plan provides for a range of new services – for instance schools and community centres at Lea Castle and Kidderminster Eastern Extension – but overall there are likely to be increased cumulative impacts on the district's services.

**Synergistic effects** interact to produce a total effect greater than the sum of the individual effects. Synergistic effects often happen as habitats, resources or human communities get close to capacity. Key synergistic impacts of the plan are:

- Several site allocations in Kidderminster town centre together should help to revitalise the eastern part of the centre.
- Several site allocations at Lea Castle together would lead to enough housing to warrant provision of a new primary school, retail provision, a community facility and playing pitches, as required in Chapter 31 of the plan.
- Several site allocations to the east of Kidderminster together would lead to enough housing to warrant provision of a new primary school, retail provision, a community facility and allotments/orchard, as required in Chapter 32 of the plan.
- The policy on revitalisation of waterways jointly with site allocations should help to revitalise the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal and the River Stour.
- The tourism policies jointly are likely to improve Wyre Forest District's tourism offer and support the economic diversification of the district.

The key **short term, temporary** (<5 years) effect of the plan is likely to be the construction of about 250 new homes per year, with associated land take, increased urbanisation, traffic etc. The area around Lea Castle and the Kidderminster Eastern Extension will be particularly affected. In the **medium** (>5 years) and **long term** (>20 years), the plan is likely to lead to:

- a change in Green Belt boundaries
- an increase in urbanisation, particularly in the Lea Castle area
- more vibrant centres in Kidderminster, Stourport and Bewdley
- decreased per-person travel, but an overall increase in traffic and congestion because of the increased number of people expected over the next 20 years
- · decreased per-person energy use, but an overall increase in energy use
- a more planned and cohesive green infrastructure network
- decreased impacts from tourist accommodation

#### **Permanent effects** of the plan are likely to include:

- An increase in developed land, and a decrease in undeveloped and agricultural land
- Improvements to, and creation of, green infrastructure
- Some irreversible impacts to heritage assets, the historic landscape and biodiversity.
   Some of these could be positive, e.g. improvements to conservation areas in Kidderminster and Stourport. Others are likely to be negative, e.g. impacts on SSSIs.

Appendix A. Re-appraisal of policies with main modifications that have significant sustainability implications (changes made since the July 2019 appraisal are shown in red)

Policy 6A. Development needs							
SA objectives that are not relevant to	4						
this policy							
Policy change in modifications	20%, throu reallo	nousing land supply 'buffer' changed from 15% to and total housing supply increased to 6734, mainly gh amendments to the capacity of sites and to ocation from employment to housing – see Table (sources of supply)					
SA objective		6.0.2 (sources of supply).  Impact of policy					
To improve health and well-being within the District and reduce inequalities in health.	+	The policy provides homes to meet objectively assessed housing need. This helps to ensure that there are adequate homes for everyone, and will help to redress current instances of overcrowding etc.					
To protect and enhance the provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	?	The policy says nothing about local services, but depending on the amount and location of the new housing and employment development, it could help to support existing services, create new services, or overload services					
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	++?	The policy will help to ensure that objectively assessed housing needs are provided for.					
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	-	The sheer increase in number of homes and employment sites will increase travel, although it will also allow people to live near where they work if they want to. The policy's impacts are in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.					
6. To protect soil & land		This policy will lead to more than 200ha of greenfield land being developed released for development, although much of this will remain as open space (50% on the Eastern Extension site and 40% on the other housing sites).					
7. To protect water resources and quality; reduce flood risk.		This policy will lead to 13 sites being developed in areas that are at risk of flooding or wastewater management problems. The policy's impacts are in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.					
8. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape		This policy will lead to 7 sites being developed with significant landscape impacts. The policy's impacts are in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.					
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and geodiversity		This policy, combined with the site allocation policies, will lead to 10 sites being developed with significant biodiversity impacts. The policy's impacts are in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.					
10. To support the economy & ensure suitable employment opportunities	+	This policy supports economic growth in the district by providing adequate employment land and housing for the future population					
11. To protect & enhance the historic environment & its settings		This policy will lead to 13 sites being developed with potential significant heritage impacts. The policy's impacts are in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.					
12. To maintain the integrity of the Green Belt within the District.		This policy will lead to more than 200ha of Green Belt land being built on released for development, including associated open space/green infrastructure.					

Policy 6A. Development needs						
13.To maintain & enhance community & settlement identities	0?	This policy helps to ensure that housing and employment sites are provided that allow people to stay in the district if they want to; and ensures the economic growth of the district.				
Possible mitigation						

Policy 8A. Housing Density & Mix		
SA objectives that are not relevant to	4	
this policy		
Policy change in modifications	35dp town	num density for all developments expected to be h. Indicative densities added for Kidderminster centre (70dph), Kidderminster near town centre railway station (50dph) and Stourport (50dph).
SA objective		ct of policy
To improve health and well-being within the District and reduce inequalities in health.	+	The policy provides homes to fill objectively assessed housing need. This helps to ensure that there are adequate homes for everyone, and will help to redress current instances of overcrowding etc.
To protect and enhance the provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	?	The policy says nothing about local services, but depending on the amount and location of the new housing, it could help to support existing services, create new services, or overload services
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	++?	The policy will help to ensure that objectively assessed housing needs are provided for, although it will provide only about 44% of the affordable homes needed.
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	-	The sheer increase in number of homes will increase travel, although it will also allow people to live near where they work if they want to. The policy's impacts is in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.
6. To protect soil & land	-	The policy proposes a minimum density of 35 dwellings per hectare (dph), with higher densities in Kidderminster and Stourport. This is higher than the previous version of the plan. It allows more homes to be built on the same footprint (although the footprint itself hasn't changed).
7. To protect water resources and quality; reduce flood risk.		This policy, combined with the site allocation policies, will lead to 13 sites being developed in areas that are at risk of flooding. The policy's impacts is in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.
8. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape		This policy, combined with the site allocation policies, will lead to 7 sites being developed with significant landscape impacts. The policy's impacts is in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and geodiversity		This policy, combined with the site allocation policies, will lead to 10 sites being developed with significant biodiversity impacts. The policy's impacts is in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.
10. To support the economy & ensure suitable employment opportunities	+	This policy supports economic growth in the district by providing adequate housing for the future population

	This policy, combined with the site allocation policies, will lead to 13 sites being developed with potential significant heritage impacts. The policy's impacts is in part mitigated by other policies in the plan.
	This policy, combined with the site allocation policies, will lead to more than 200ha of Green Belt land being built on.
0?	This policy helps to ensure that housing is provided that allows people to stay in the district if they want to; allows rural communities to expand in a sustainable manner; and ensures that town centres are revitalised. The two larger urban expansions are expected to become new communities in their own right. The proposed sites should not 'swamp' communities with overlarge new developments.
	0?

Policy 8C. Addressing Rural Housing Needs								
SA objectives that are not relevant to	1, 4							
this policy								
Policy change in modifications		Rural exception sites only permitted if 100% affordable.						
SA objective	Imp	act of policy						
To protect and enhance the provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	+	This policy, which supports the development of small-scale housing in rural areas, will help to protect and possibly enhance rural services, by ensuring that there is enough population to keep them going.						
To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	+	The policy aims to provide for the housing needs of rural dwellers, particularly those who require affordable dwellings. The modifications further support this, although it applies to only a small part of the district's population.						
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	-	Homes in the countryside tend to be far from services and jobs, and so generate more car movements and associated emissions.						
6. To protect soil & land	-?	The impact of this policy depends on their location,						
7. To protect water resources and quality; reduce flood risk.	=	and whether sites are brownfield or greenfield.  Overall, the policy is likely to lead to more						
8. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape		development on greenfield land, more flood risk, impacts on the rural landscape, and impacts on biodiversity.						
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and geodiversity		blodiversity.						
To support the economy & ensure suitable employment opportunities	+	The policy supports the rural economy by ensuring that adequate homes are built where they are needed to support rural jobs.						
11. To protect & enhance the historic environment & its settings	-?	The impact of this policy will depend on the location of the new homes. However building more homes in the countryside will increase the feeling of urbanisation in a historically rural area, and could affect individual heritage assets.						

Policy 8C. Addressing Rural Housing Needs								
12. To maintain the integrity of the Green Belt within the District.	-	Some of the rural housing is likely to be on Green Belt land						
13.To maintain & enhance community & + settlement identities		The aim of the policy is to ensure that rural communities remain vibrant, and that local families can stay in their communities.						
Possible mitigation								

d between Kidderminster and Stourport to be guarded for Burlish Country Park. Development osals that would prejudice the provision of the atry park will not be permitted. Also clarification that ogreen infrastructure does not include private ens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on varied sites with high environmental value.  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting of wind turbines etc.
guarded for Burlish Country Park. Development osals that would prejudice the provision of the atry park will not be permitted. Also clarification that green infrastructure does not include private lens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on infield sites with high environmental value.  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
guarded for Burlish Country Park. Development osals that would prejudice the provision of the atry park will not be permitted. Also clarification that green infrastructure does not include private lens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on infield sites with high environmental value.  This policy  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
osals that would prejudice the provision of the otry park will not be permitted. Also clarification that ogreen infrastructure does not include private lens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on on the provision required for biodiversity impacts on on the provision of th
ntry park will not be permitted. Also clarification that a green infrastructure does not include private lens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on infield sites with high environmental value.  act of policy  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
regreen infrastructure does not include private lens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on infield sites with high environmental value.  This policy  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
ens; reference to blue as well as green infracture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on varield sites with high environmental value.  act of policy  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
cture; mitigation required for biodiversity impacts on varield sites with high environmental value.  act of policy  This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
This policy protects and enhances Green Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
Infrastructure. This is beneficial for health as it encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
encourages walking and cycling, and is good for mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
mental health.  The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
The need to provide green infrastructure as part of new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
new development – quite a lot in the case of larger sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
sites – reduces the amount of land available for housing, although it also makes the new housing more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
more attractive.  More GI space in theory also allows for the siting
of wind turbines etc.
More GI helps to improve air quality. However the
requirement for large quantities of GI could lead to
developments being sprawling, thus encouraging
car transport.
This policy helps to protect soil, land, water
resources, the landscape and biodiversity.
In the case of the Burlish Country Park, it is also
likely to improve biodiversity.
The need to provide green infrastructure as part of
new development – quite a lot in the case of larger
sites – reduces the amount of land available for
employment development, although it also makes
the new development more attractive.
This policy helps to protect the identity of
settlements by helping to protect the Green
Infrastructure that contributes to that identity.

The initial wording of the policy was not clear about the fact that the park is being implemented, rather than the land just being safeguarded. The + ratings above depend on the policy being

# Policy 14 – Strategic Green Infrastructure

changed to stress the implementation of the country park, rather than just safeguarding of land for the country park.

#### Response to mitigation

Policy changed to include "H. The Council will safeguard *and implement a scheme on* land between Kidderminster and Stourport-on-Severn for development as the Burlish Country Park..."

Policy 15B - Sewerage systems and wa	ater q	uality					
SA objectives that are not relevant to this policy	4-6, 8, 11-13						
Policy changes in modifications	Proposals should aim to improve water quality, not just protect it. This amendment was made at the request of the Environment Agency, and applies to the council planning process through e.g. ordinary watercourse consents and land use management.						
SA objective	Impact of policy						
To improve health and well-being within the District and reduce inequalities in health.	0	This policy helps to protect the health of the District's residents by ensuring that adequate sewerage systems are in place.					
2. To protect and enhance the provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	0	This policy protects the provision of sewerage services in the district. The modifications also discuss enhancement, but in practice this is unlikely to lead to significant improvements.					
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	0	This policy ensures that housing is adequately served by sewerage services.					
7. To protect water resources and quality; reduce flood risk.	0	This policy helps to protect the quality of water sources, and their associated biodiversity. The					
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and geodiversity		modifications also discuss enhancement, but in practice this is unlikely to lead to significant improvements.					
10. To support the economy & ensure suitable employment opportunities	0	This policy protects the provision of sewerage services in the district.					
Possible mitigation							

Policy 16B - Minerals						
SA objectives that are not relevant to this policy	2, 12, 13					
Policy changes in modifications	Reasoned justification now lists site allocations where implications on waste management facilities and/or minerals need to be considered and measures possibly put in place. This amendment was requested by Worcestershire County Council to take account of their Waste Core Strategy and Minerals Local Plan.					
SA objective	Impact of policy					
To improve health and well-being within the District and reduce inequalities in health.	?	The policy says nothing about this at the moment, but mineral development works can increase noise, dust etc.				
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	+/-	This policy indirectly supports the provision of new housing development by ensuring that building materials are available. However some housing sites may be affected by requirement to safeguard mineral resources.				

Policy 16B - Minerals		
To promote energy efficiency & energy generated from renewable and low carbon sources.	0	This policy encourages recycling and reuse of construction waste on site, which helps to reduce embodied energy.
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	+/-	The policy aims to provide materials locally. It also aims to reduce the need to move materials by encouraging reuse and recycling of construction materials on site. It says nothing about mineral development works not having an unacceptable impact on the road network.
6. To protect soil & land	0	This policy safeguards mineral resources, although this is only so that they can be extracted in the future.
7. To protect water resources and quality; reduce flood risk.	0	The policy aims to minimise dust, changes to water levels etc.
8. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape		
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and geodiversity	0	The policy aims to protect biodiversity.
To support the economy & ensure suitable employment opportunities	+/-	The policy aims to make best use of important mineral resources, and provide construction materials. However some employment sites may be affected by requirement to safeguard mineral resources.
11. To protect & enhance the historic environment & its settings	0	The policy aims to protect the historic environment
Possible mitigation		

Policy 16C - Waste								
SA objectives that are not relevant to	1, 3	1, 3, 5-7, 9-13						
this policy								
Policy changes in modifications	Reasoned justification now lists site allocations where							
	implications on waste management facilities and/or							
	minerals need to be considered and measures possibly							
	put in place. This amendment was requested by							
	Worcestershire County Council to take account of their							
	Waste Core Strategy and Minerals Local Plan.							
SA objective	Impact of policy							
2. To protect and enhance the provision	+	The policy aims to ensure that adequate waste						
and accessibility to local services and		management facilities are available.						
facilities								
3. To ensure that the housing needs of	0	Those site allocations that need to consider						
all residents and communities are met		implications on waste management facilities are						
		unlikely to need to reduce their allocations.						
4. To promote anargy officional ?	+	The policy sime to ensure that adequate wests						
4. To promote energy efficiency & energy generated from renewable and	+	The policy aims to ensure that adequate waste management facilities are available. This helps to						
low carbon sources.		reduce embodied energy and facilitate energy from						
low carbon sources.		waste.						
8. To protect and enhance landscape	0	The policy includes a requirement that facilities						
and townscape	U	should be well-designed.						
·	0	<u> </u>						
10. To support the economy & ensure	0	Those site allocations that need to consider						
suitable employment opportunities		implications on waste management facilities are						
December 2011 and Constitution		unlikely to need to reduce their allocations.						
Possible mitigation								
-								

Policy 18B. Residential infill developme							
SA objectives that are not relevant to	1, 7	, 10					
this policy	Infill development in villages and rural settlements						
Policy changes in modifications							
		should only be to meet local needs, and should not be inappropriate development in the Green Belt					
SA objective		Impact of policy					
2. To protect and enhance the provision	0	Small scale infill development would typically be					
and accessibility to local services and facilities		close to existing services but would not actively improve these services					
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	+	The policy supports small scale infill development, and provision of local needs in villages and rural settlements.					
4. To promote energy efficiency & energy generated from renewable and ow carbon sources.	0	The policy says nothing about energy efficiency and renewable energy, although it refers to other policies (e.g. 11B, 26, 27A).					
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	0	The policy supports development that would typically be close to existing services, thus minimising (but not obviating) the need to travel					
6. To protect soil & land	+/-	Although the policy could lead to development on greenfield land, this development would increase development density and make good use of land.					
3. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape	+/-	The policy would lead to denser, more urban development. However it also refers to Policy 27A on good design, and infill development is likely to have less impact on the landscape than new non-infill development. It also aims to prevent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.					
9.To conserve & enhance the District's biodiversity and geodiversity	+/-	Infill development will typically be on garden land. This could be quite biodiverse, so could be affected negatively by development. However infill development could help to reduce the need to build outside settlements, and help to preserve larger habitats.					
11. To protect & enhance the historic environment & its settings	0?	Infill development could affect heritage assets. However the policy refers to Policies 11B and 26 on the historic environment, so limiting these impacts. Overall the impact of this policy is likely to be limited.					
12. To maintain the integrity of the Green Belt within the District.	0	The policy encourages infill development. Some of this may technically be in the Green Belt, where settlements are washed over by the Green Belt. However overall infill development helps to prevent Green Belt development.					
13.To maintain & enhance community & settlement identities  Possible mitigation	+/-	Infill development has the potential to reduce the amenity of adjacent residents. However it also helps to reduce urban sprawl and enhance the vitality of communities.					

Policy 22A. Town centre development								
SA objectives that are not relevant to this policy	1, 4	, 6, 7, 9 11-13						
Policy changes in modification	Sho clus thar	Removal of requirement for development in Primary Shopping Frontage area to not result in an adverse cluster of non-retail uses at ground floor level (the 'more than two out of seven rule' set out in the reasoned justification).						
SA objective	Impact of policy							
2. To protect and enhance the provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	+?	The policy aims to retain and enhance the town centres' retail offer and vitality. By allowing some change of use to leisure and recreation, it also supports the flexible provision of other services; and it is an acknowledgement that many retail units are vacant. However the removal of protection of Primary Shopping Frontages from adverse clusters of non-retail uses at ground floor level and from fragmentation has the potential to reduce the vibrancy and vitality of these frontages. (This is exacerbated by removal of Policy 22C protecting retail units in local centre from changes of use). Regeneration of Kidderminster eastern gateway would enhance the provision of services.						
3. To ensure that the housing needs of all residents and communities are met	0	The policy allows for some retail development to be changed to residential, but the impact on housing provision is likely to be relatively minor.						
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	0	The policy aims to maintain the vitality of the town centres, and avoid scattered out-of-town development that would increase the need to travel.						
8. To protect and enhance landscape and townscape	?	The impact of this policy will depend on the type of development that comes forward. The policy states that development within the Primary Shopping Frontage must not result in clutter or fragmentation.						
10. To support the economy & ensure suitable employment opportunities	+	The policy would help to protect and enhance the district's retail offer, with associated jobs.						
Possible mitigation								

Policy 22D. Local shops						
SA objectives that are not relevant to this policy	1, 3, 4, 6-12					
Policy changes in modification	As MM10.1, also existing retail and commercial units village or neighbourhood centres to be safeguarded. Strengthens the previous version of the policy but do not lead to changes in the appraisal results.					
SA objective	Imp	act of policy				
2. To protect and enhance the provision and accessibility to local services and facilities	+	This policy supports the provision of new/extended local shops which are a key local facility				
5. To reduce the need to travel and move towards more sustainable travel modes; to reduce associated effects of air quality & greenhouse gas emissions	+	This policy helps to reduce the need to travelling by supporting new shops within walking distance				

Policy 22D. Local shops									
13.To maintain & enhance community & settlement identities	+	Local shops support community identities. This policy supports new/extended local shops.							
Possible mitigation									

Only those site appraisals where changes were made in response to the reappraisal are shown below.

#### FPH-15 RIFLE RANGE SHOPS AND MUSKETEER PH

Nearest settle	ment:		te ref:		Eas	ting	381/18			Site area (hectares): 0.58							
Kidderminster		F	PH/15		Nor	thing	275026										
Site address: I	Rifle Range	Sh	ops and	Mus	sketeer F	Public Ho	use,	Αv	on	Withir	ı bu	ilt area		<b>√</b>			_
Road, Kiddern			•							Adjoir	ning	built ar	ea				
Ward: Foley P	ark and Ho	obr	ook									e site c		otion	1)		
Current or pre-	vious use: F	Para	ade of sl	hops	with flat	s over, p	ublic	: ho	use			d (unde			, ,		
with car park.				•		·				Brown	nfield	d (prev.	. deve	lope	ed)	,	/
Site descriptio	n: 1960s sn	nall	group o	f sho	ps with	flats abo	ve o	n h	ousing	estate,	vaca	ant pub	lic hou	ıse '	with o	car	
park.																	
Ownership: W	vre Forest			Pri	vate	✓		Pı	ublic	<b>√</b>		Unk	nown				_
Community Ho		ate						-									
Topography:	<u> </u>		Flat		Ge	ently Slop	oina		٧.	/	St	eeply S	Slopino	1			_
Planning Histo	ry: allocate	d fo		elopr				ite	Allocati	ons and					(201:	3)	_
3 - 11	,					,										- /	
SUSTAINABIL APPRAISAL II			+/-	Not	es												
Local services		25	+	Witl	hin built	area. Go	ood a	300	ess to I	ocal fac	ilitie	s retai	l woul	d be	<u>,</u>		_
2004. 00111000	and radiiid	-										o. rota.		<b>u</b>			
Housing needs	incorporated into any development  0.58ha (removal of requirement to keep shops)											-					
Need to travel		е	<del>2</del> +	Good vehicular access. Good public transport access. Bus stop nearby											_		
travel modes						uency ro										,	
Soil & land			+			site. Con											
Water resourc	es and qua	lity,	0		flooding												
flood risk	•	•			Ū												
Landscape an	d townscap	е	+	Exis	sting par	ade of sh	nops	. D	evelop	ment co	uld	improv	e the	stre	etsce	ne.	
Biodiversity an	d geodiver	sity	-	190	m to De	n to Devil's Spittleful SSSI											
Economy & en	nployment		?														
Historic enviro	nment		0	No	known h	eritage a	sset	S									
Green Belt			0	Not	in Gree	n Belt											
Community &	settlement		+	Witl	hin built	area.											
identities																	
Other: Funding	g; existing c	CCL	ıpiers. A	dj pl	anning c	onstraint	: IPC										
					REASO	N FOR IN	NCLU	JSI	ON:								
Call for Sites s	ubmission			Allo	cated wi	thout pla	ınnin	g		✓	S	ites wit	h plan	ning	)		
					mission							ermissi					
Local Authority	owned lar	nd				/ithdrawn			ng		U	nderus	ed / V	aca	nt		
						(2006 to					_	tes					
Officer sugges	ted - rural					ested – p	pote	ntia	ıl		0	ther					
sites				urba	an exten	sion		-		<u> </u>				1 1			
PROPOSED		_										psy/			Oth	e	
USE:	Housing	<b>✓</b>	Retail	<b>✓</b>	Employ	yment			Leisure	9		avelling			r		
		1			Ì						Sh	owpeop	ole	1		1	

WFDC OFFICER VIEWS:										
Character / visual impact: Improvement to street scene										
Vehicular access	Good	✓	Reasonable	Poor						
Access to local facilities Retail would be incorporated into any development	Good	✓	Reasonable	Poor						
Public transport accessibility bus stop on high frequency route within 5 minutes walk.	Good	✓	Reasonable	Poor						

#### **WA-KF-3 LOW HABBERLEY FARM**

Nearest settlement:	Site ref:		Eas	ting	380	791		Site	area (	hectares):	92.8	37	
Kidderminster	WA/KF/	3		thing	277	699			·	,			
Site address: Low Habber Ward: Wribbenhall and Ar		, Habberl	ey La	ne				Adjo		t area ouilt area e site descr	iptic	√ on)	
Current or previous use: F	armland							Gre	enfield	(undevelo	oed	)	✓
O'Control de Control de Control	- P	F Isla		. 20. 1			.1.			l (prev. dev			1 -
Site description: farmland immediately to west and r		Ferndale	estat	e with i	_ow H	abbe	riey	village	adjace	ent; Locai v	viiai	ite si	te
Ownership:		Priva	te	✓		Pub	lic			Unknowr	1		
Topography:	Flat		Ge	ently Slo	ping			✓	Ste	eply Slopin	ıg		
Planning History: None of	relevanc	е											
SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL INFO	+/-	Notes											
Local services and facilities	es -			uilt area mable v						al facilities,	tho	ugh s	hops
Housing needs of all	++	92.87h											
Need to travel, sustainable	e -									access: bus			
travel modes									nd brid	leways cros	ss th	ne sit	e.
Soil & land	-			site, cor									
Water resources and qual flood risk				issues.									
Landscape and townscap	e -	Habbe	rley ∖		IR, W	assel	l Wo	od and	recep	uld impact of otors and Lo			
Biodiversity and geodivers	·	Habbe bound along hare.	erley \ ary of bound Doub	/alley L site Eadary of s le minu	ocal Vasthar site. I	Vildlifns Co BAP p emair	e Sit oppic orote o bec	e/Natu e. TP ected fa	re Resource	serve adjac on site and ipistrelle ba sensitivity o res have be	TP it ar f the	O 30 nd bro	4 own
Economy & employment	0												
Historic environment	0	of Low of the	/ Habl site	berley F						art of agric farm which			
Green Belt	-	In Gre											
Community & settlement identities	0	Í		uilt area									
Other: Minerals consultat	ion area.	Oil pipelii	ne Sto	ourport	to Se	isdon	cros	sses th	e site.				

				REA	SON FOR IN	NCLU:	SION:						
Call for Sites s	submission		<b>~</b>	Allocated	d without pla	nning			Sites wit	•	lannir	ng	
Local Authority	y owned lar	nd			/ Withdrawr ons (2006 to		_		Underus sites	sed .	/ Vac	ant	
Officer sugges sites	ted - rural			Officer s urban ex	uggested – tension	potent	tial		Other			•	<u> </u>
PROPOSED USE:	Housing	✓	Retail	Em	ployment		Leisure	•	Gypsy/ Travelling Showpeo			Otl r	he
				WF	DC OFFICE	R VIE	WS:						
Character / vis	ual impact:	Sit	e consid	lered to h	ave medium	lands	scape sen	sitivity					
Vehicular acce	ess			Good	1 1	ſ	Reasonab	ole	Po	or			
Access to loca				Good	1	ſ	Reasonab	ole	Po	or	✓		
Shops within from eastern p		wa	lk										
Public transpo stop near east		•	F	Good		F	Reasonab	ole	Po	or	✓		

### **BHS-17 PARK STREET INDUSTRIAL ESTATE**

Nearest settlement:	Site ref:	E	asting	382	766	Site area	(hectares): 2	.17	
Kidderminster	BHS/17	N	lorthing	276	652				
Site address: Industrial Es	state, Park	Street, Kid	derminste	r		Within bu	It area	✓	
Ward: Blakebrook and Ha	bberley So	outh				Adjoining	built area		
						Other (Se	e site descrip	otion)	
Current or previous use: I	ndustrial u	nits and va	cant, dere	lict fo	rmer	Greenfield	d (undevelope	ed)	
industrial building, incorpo woodland	orates sma	ll area of st	eeply slop	oing, d	dense	Brownfield	d (prev. deve	loped)	<b>✓</b>
Site description: prominer residential and vacant built									
Ownership: Mostly private south east wooded area of by WFDC		Private	<b>√</b>		Public	<b>√</b>	Unknown		
Topography:	Flat		Gently Slo	ping		St	eply Sloping	<u>,</u> ✓	
Planning History: Allocate	d for a mix	of uses inc	cluding res	sident	tial institut	ions, resider	tial, offices, r	non-	
residential institutions and	l assembly		e in KCAA	P (20	13)				
SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL INFO	+/-	Notes							
Local services and facilities	es +	Within bu	ilt area. E	xistir	ng industria	al premises.	Good acces	s to local	
		services -	- walking	distar	nce to tow	n centre faci	lities		
Housing needs of all	<del>?</del> +	3.47ha (c	hange in a	alloca	ition to res	sidential)			
Need to travel, sustainabl	e <b>+</b>			•	•	rt access. B	us stops and	railway	
travel modes			thin walkir						
Soil & land	+?					ontamination	i likely.		
Water resources and qua flood risk	lity, -	Aquifer protection zone 6/166.							
Landscape and townscap	e <b>+</b>						ıd, surrounde		
							cipal routes i		/n
							to improve ar	ea by	
			a derelict b						
Biodiversity and geodivers	sity -	Small are	a of steep	ly slo	ping, den	se mature re	generated w	oodland.	

Economy & en	nployment		?															
Historic enviro	nment					s in a histori												
						ks former c												
						site of prese											/ E (	of
						lorn and Tru												
						nire and Wo												
						c. The site		_	,			_	,		_		ding	JS
						considered	for	CO	nversior	n nc	t de	emolitio	n. C	)pport	tunit	y to		
						existing GI.												
Green Belt			0			en Belt												
Community & :	settlement		+			It area. Pote	enti	al to	o improv	e a	rea	by brin	ging	a de	relic	t bui	ldin	g
identities					k into													
Other: British v		ΕIΑ	and ma	ijor s	cale de	evelopment	and	m b	inor and	l ho	use	hold so	ale					
development(2	20110729)				DEASON FOR INCLUSION.													
				REASON FOR INCLUSION:  Allocated without planning permission Sites with planning														
Call for Sites sul	bmission			Allo	cated w	vithout planni	ng p	ern	nission	<b>√</b>					ng			
										•		permi						
Local Authority	owned land					Nithdrawn/ P		ing					ruse	d / Vad	cant			
0#:		_				s (2006 to da		-1	d			sites						
Officer suggeste	ea - rurai site	S			er sug nsion	gested – pote	entia	ai ur	rban			Other						
PROPOSED		,										Gypsy/						
USE:	Housing	<b>✓</b>	Retail		Empl	oyment		<b>1</b>	Leisure		✓	Travelli		_		Oth	er	
												Showp	eopie	9				
						C OFFICEF												
Character / vis	ual impact:	Ро	tential to	o imp	rove a	rea by bring	ging	y a o	derelict	buil	ding	g back i	nto i	use.				
Vehicular acce	ess			(	Good	✓		Re	easonab	le			Pod	or				
						I.						ı						_
Access to loca					Good	✓		Re	easonab	le			Pod	or				
walking distand	ce to town (	cen	tre															
facilities										. 1			_					
Public transpo				1	Good	✓		Re	easonab	le			Pod	or				
walking distand	ce to bus a	nd i	ail															
services																		

#### **AKR-10 QUEENS ROAD SHOPS**

Nearest settler			e ref:		Ea	sting	380	656		Site a	rea (I	hectare	es): 0	.36F	На		
Stourport-on-S	evern	AK	R/10		No	rthing	270	189									
Site address: 0	Dueens Ro	ad A	Arelev	Kinas S	Stour	nort-on-	Seve	rn		Withir	huilt	area		<b>√</b>			
Ward: Areley k				migo, c	otoui	port on	CCVC					uilt are	22				
	ungo ana n		O.GO									site de		otion	<u>,)</u>		
Current or prev	ions nee. 8	Shor	ne with	flate ov	er an	nd rear na	arane	hlock				(undev			')	1	
Current of pres	710u3 u3c. (	Jiiop	JS WILLI	nats ov	Ci ai	ia icai gi	arago	DIOCI	٧.			(prev.			4)		<b>√</b>
Site description	n. Miyed re	tail s	and res	idential	11868	= large	area	of loc	k-un (							ed	
by housing	i. Wiixca ic	tan c	1110 100	iacritiai	uocc	large	arca	01 100	кир	garago	, 10 10	ou or o		Juii	ouria	Cu	
by nodeling																	
Ownership: W	vre Forest			Priva	te			Pub	lic	✓		Unkr	nown	$\top$			
Community Ho																	
Topography:			Flat	✓	G	ently Slo	ping				Ste	eply SI	oping	<u> </u>			
Planning Histo	ry: Allocate	d fo	r reside	ential us				of co	nveni	ence re					ite		
Allocations and																;	
Change of use									ŭ	Ū		•					
_																	
SUSTAINABIL			+/-	Notes													
APPRAISAL IN																	
Local services	and facilitie	es	+			t area. G			s to l	ocal fac	ilities	. Red	evelo	pme	ent w	oulc	ţ
						element											
Housing needs			<del>?</del> +			moval of											
Need to travel,	sustainabl	е	+			e vehicu							publi	c tra	anspo	ort	
travel modes						igh frequ					e Roa	ad					
Soil & land			+			. Contar											
Water resource	es and qua	lity,	-			e study s					r floo	ding is	sue c	lowr	stre	am	
flood risk						equiring f											
Landscape and	d townscap	е	0			redbrick					g. No	o adve	rse ir	npa	ct. S	ite i	S
Dia di canaite can	-ll:	- '4	0			sed for g						41		<u></u>			
Biodiversity an	a geodiver	Sity	0			y to enha			ig Gi	extendi	ng ir	om the	rear	bou	nuar	y OI	
Economy & en	anloymont		?	паны	one	Road pro	perne	35.									
Historic enviro			0	No kn	OWD	heritage	conci	trainte									
Green Belt	IIIIGIIL		0			en Belt	COHS	панна	•								
Community & s	settlement		+/-			t area. S	ite cı	ırrent	ly incl	udes no	ortaca	ahin foi	con	mu	nity ı	1000	
identities	Settiernent		177-	VVILIIII	Duii	tarea. C	nie ci	iii Ciit	iy ii iCi	uues pi	niace	abiii ioi	COII	iiiiui	nty c	1303	•
Other: Narrow	access off	esta	ite road	1													
Other. Harrow	400000 011	Colo	iio roac	••													
				DE		NI FOD	NOL	ICIO	N I .								
						N FOR											
Call for Sites sul	omission			Allocat	ed wi	thout plar	ning p	permis	sion	✓		es with		ing			
Land Authority				Datus	۸۱ / ۱۸	litle el menono	/ Danal	:				missior derused		1			
Local Authority of	owned land					/ithdrawn/ (2006 to		ing			site		ı / va	cant			
Officer suggeste	d - rural site	9				ested – p		al urha	an .		Oth				ı		
omeer eaggeere	a rararono			extens		jootou p	Otoriu	ai			"	.0.					
PROPOSED											Gyps						
USE:	Housing	Retail	✓   E	mplo	yment		Le	eisure			elling			Oth	er		
OOL.											Shov	wpeople	9				
				V	VFDC	OFFIC	ER VI	EWS	:								
Character / vis	ual impact:	No	advore	o offoot	Sito	ic curro	ntly u	cod f	or dor	2000 21	nd no	rtacah	in for	cor	nmııı	aitv.	
USes.	uai iiiipaci.	NO	auveis	e eneci	. Oile	is cuite	iiliy u	seu ii	Ji yai	ayes ai	ια ρυ	ilacab	111 101	COI	illilui	шу	
usos.																	
Vehicular acce	ess.			Gd	ood			Rea	sonat	ole 🗸		Pod	or				
road is narrow							_[						-				
Access to loca				Go	ood	✓		Rea	sonat	ole		Pod	or				
							1			- 1							,

Redevelopment would include					
element of retail	•				
Public transport accessibility	Good	✓	Reasonable	Poor	
Bus stop on Hanstone Road – high	i				
frequency route	•				

#### **MI-3 PARSONS CHAIN**

Nearest settlement:	Site re	f·	Fa	sting	381	818		Site area (hectares): 6.03Ha
Stourport-on-Severn	MI/3							- One area (nectares): 0.0011a
Crossiport on Coroni	, 0		No	rthing	271	234		
Site address: Parsons Ch	ain site,	Hartleb	ury Roa	ad, Stour	rport-c	n-		Within built area ✓
Severn			•					Adjoining built area
Ward: Mitton								Other (See site description)
Current or previous use: I	Previous	ly in ind	lustrial ι	use – no	w clea	ared		Greenfield (undeveloped)
								Brownfield (prev. developed)
			al site w	ith forme	er railv	vay e	mbar	nkment forming eastern boundary and
employment uses to west	and so			1 /				
Ownership:			ivate	<b>√</b>		Puk	olic	Unknown
Topography: Flat with	Flat	✓	G	ently Slo	oping			Steeply Sloping
railway embankment at								
boundary	d for o .			مماميط ا		ممام		nity years in the City Allegations and
								nity uses in the Site Allocations and
offices and facilities (B2)			e oi use	HOIII Wa	areno	using	(DO)	to computer engineers, storage,
SUSTAINABILITY	<del>Appiove</del>   +/		tos					
APPRAISAL INFO	''	140	103					
Local services and facilitie	es +	Wi	hin buil	t area.	Good a	acces	ss to I	local facilities: town centre within
			king dis					
Housing needs of all	?				alloca	ation	from	mixed use to residential with care
		ho	ne)					
Need to travel, sustainable	le +/							rt access: 10 minute walk to bus stop
travel modes								is partly inside the Stourport-on-
				Quality				
Soil & land	+'							Contamination likely.
Water resources and qua	lity, -			e study i	dentif	ied tr	e site	e as being at significant risk of pluvial
flood risk		_	oding	: t :	ما جاءني			voi a policina de la forma in a la circa de mi
Landscape and townscap								vay embankment forming boundary.
Biodiversity and geodiver	sity							now a naturalised silver birch
								ue. Local wildlife site River Stour ry of site. BAP protected flora tower
								pact on wildlife corridor. 210m to
								SSI (broadly favourable condition).
Economy & employment	?	110	i i o o di y		. unu	· iiiiui	.511 0	Co. (Stodaly lavourable condition).
Historic environment	_	Gr	ade II ho	ouse at F	Parsor	ns Ch	ain C	Complex immediately to NW of site.
								of branch line to power station lie
								d Crown undesignated lies
								emoval of embankment would result in
								o power station. House at Parsons
		Ch	ain has	potentia				cance affected by development.
Green Belt	0		t in Gre					
Community & settlement	+	In	ouilt are	a.				
identities					a			
Contamination		nlikely		ikely	<b>√</b>			
Other: Line of Stourport of	t relief r	oad foll	ows eml	oankmer	nt. Hig	hway	/s iss	ues.

					REAS	ON FOR IN	CLL	JS	ION:						
Call for Sites su	bmission			Allo	cated w	ithout planni	ing p	eri	mission	✓	Sites w		nning		
Local Authority	owned land					Vithdrawn/ P s (2006 to da		ing			Underu sites	sed / \	/acant		
Officer suggeste	ed - rural site	s			cer sugo ension	gested – pot	entia	al u	rban		Other				
PROPOSED USE:	Housing	✓	Retail		Emplo	oyment		<b>✓</b>	Leisure		Gypsy/ Travelling Showped	,		Other	
					WFD	C OFFICER	R VII	E۷	VS:						
Character / vis	ual impact:	La	rge clea	red s	ite - w	ith railway e	emb	an	kment fo	ormin	g boundary				
Vehicular acce	ess				Good	✓		R	easonab	le	F	oor			
Access to loca					Good	✓		R	easonab	le	F	oor			
town centre wi	thin walking	g di	stance												
Public transpo					Good	✓		R	easonab	le	F	oor			
10 minutes wa high frequency		ор	on												

# BR-BE-1 BEWDLEY LIBRARY, MEDICAL CENTRE AND FIRE STATION

Nearest settlement: Bewdley	Site ref: BR/BE/		Eas	ting	378	596 (E)	Site	area (	hectares): 0.	66	ha	
			Nor	thing	275	438 (N)						
Site address: Bewdley Lik	orary and	Medica	l Centre	<del></del>	1		With	in buil	t area	/		
Ward: Bewdley and Rock							Adjo	ining l	ouilt area			
									e site descrip	tior	า)	
Current or previous use:	Communi	ty uses/	health	care					(undevelope			
·		•					Brov	vnfield	l (prev. devel	ope	ed)	<b>✓</b>
Site description: Library a	ınd medic	al centr	e adjac	ent to L	oad S	Street car	park, B	ewdle	у			
Ownership:		Priv	ate			Public	<b>✓</b>	,	Unknown			
Topography:	Flat	<b>√</b>	Ge	ently Slo	oping			Ste	eply Sloping			
Planning History: New me	edical cen	tre curr				tion at D	og Lane					
Allocated for a mix of use										ре	ermis	sion
for 49 space car park (13,	/0395FUL	.L).										
SUSTAINABILITY			Notes									
APPRAISAL INFO												
Local services and facilities	es +	-	Good	access	to loc	al facilitie	es, withir	n built	area			
Housing needs of all	+	-	0.66ha									
Need to travel, sustainable	le +	-/-							cilities, public	tra	inspo	ort
travel modes						from Be		QMA.				
Soil & land	?		Possib	le conta	amina	tion, bro	wnfield					
Water resources and qua	lity,	-	Within	flood z	ones :	2 and 3						
flood risk												
Landscape and townscap									historic tow			
Biodiversity and geodiver	sity -						Load Str	eet Be	ewdley, BAP	prc	tecte	ed
			fauna	Pipistre	lle Ba	t						
Economy & employment	С	)										
Historic environment	-	-							e site, it is w			
									nigh potentia			
					Poter	ntial to in	npact im	media	te setting of	ouil	dings	6
One are Balt			within	/5M								
Green Belt	C	)										

Community & settlement –	O Asset of communit	y value (con	nmunity	uses / healt	h care) r	eplace	:d
identities	with another asset	of communi	ty value	e (food shop)			
Other: The library and medical centr							
their former footprint, other than the				e which will b	e redev	eloped	
along with the site of the fire station	for a Sainsbury's Local wi	th flats abov	/e.				
	REASON FOR INCL	.USION:					
Call for Sites submission ✓	Allocated without planning	permission	<b>√</b>	Sites with pl	anning		
Local Authority owned land ✓	Refused / Withdrawn/ Pen	ding		Underused	/ Vacant		
	applications (2006 to date)			sites			
Officer suggested - rural sites	Officer suggested – potent	ial urban		Other			
ļ <u> </u>	extension	1		0			
PROPOSED Housing V Retail	Employment	Leisure		Gypsy/ Travelling		Other	<b>\</b>
USE:	Employment	Loisare		Showpeople		Outlo	
	WFDC OFFICER V	IEWS:	1 1		1 1		
Character / visual impact:							
Vehicular access	Good ✓	Reasonab	ole	Poor			
				1	I		
Access to local facilities	Good ✓	Reasonab	le	Poor			
Public transport accessibility	Good ✓	Reasonab	le	Poor			
	PANEL'S VIEV	VS					
Panel's view on suitability for							
development							
Potential timescale for							
development							

#### **WA-BE-3 CATCHEM'S END**

Nearest settlement:	Site	e ref:		Eas	ting	379	605		Site	area (	(hectares):			
Bewdley	WA	/BE/3		Nor	thing	275	712		<del>5.61</del>	3.2				
Site address: Catchem's I	End,	land s	outh of	Kidde	rminste	r Roa	ad,		With	in buil	lt area			
Bewdley									Adjo	ining l	built area			
Ward: Wribbenhall & Arle	y								Othe	er (See	e site descr	iptio	n)	
Current or previous use: p	pastu	ıreland							Gree	enfield	(undevelor	ped)		
·									Brow	vnfield	l (prev. dev	elop	ed)	
Site description: 2 areas	of pa	asture,	one fro	onting	<del>Kiddern</del>	ninste	er Roa	<del>d ad</del>	jacent	Churc	<del>ch (A)</del>	-		
and one at Catchems End	<del>l nea</del>	ar bypa	<del>iss (B).</del>	Site A	<del>v put for</del>	<del>ward</del>	for or	<del>en s</del>	<del>pace/c</del>	<del>omm</del> ı	<del>unity</del>			
use. Site B promoted for h	nous	<del>ing .</del> E	astern	parce	I only al	locat	ed for	hous	sing. V	Veste	rn parcel to	rem	ain in	
Green Belt.														
Ownership:			Priva	ate			Pub	lic			Unknowr	า		
Topography:	F	Flat		Ge	ently Slo	ping				Ste	eply Slopin	ıg		
Planning History: None o	f rele	evance												
SUSTAINABILITY		+/-	Notes	3										
APPRAISAL INFO														
Local services and facilities	es	0	Reas	onable	access	s. All	servi	ces a	vailabl	e. Wa	alking distai	nce f	to tow	n.
Housing needs of all		+	<del>5.61</del> 3	3.26ha	l									
Need to travel, sustainabl	е	+	Good	vehic	ular and	l pub	lic trar	nspor	rt acces	ss. W	alking dista	ance	to tow	n.
travel modes			Public footpath 648 runs along the boundary of site A and between the										ne	
			two a	reas o	f the site	e.								
Soil & land		_	Gree	nfield	Contan	ninati	on un	know	vn					

Water resource flood risk	es and qua	lity,	<del>2</del> 0	Sm	all area	<del>a near rou</del> i	<del>ndab</del> o	one 3 – 40 out suffers									
						d in Water											
Landscape and	•		+	enh	ance s	streetscape	e.	boundary									
Biodiversity an	d geodiver	sity	-					dlands bet									ıII
								he high qu									_
Foonomy 9 on	anlovmont.		0	<del>Dro</del>	<del>ok cros</del>	ses the si	<del>те.</del> в	AP protect	ted fat	un	a Pipisi	trelle	bat	and	bac	iger	S.
Economy & en Historic enviro			<del></del> -	Chi	irch of	All Saints	25m	W of site.	churcl	hv	ard wal	lle ar	d lv	chas	ato c	ıf	
riistoric eriviio	HIHEHL							nd forms w							<del>ale c</del>	<del>//</del>	
								al to adver							ern (	end	
								derminste									
				cap	acity to	<del>be devel</del>	<del>oped</del>	without im	posin	g (	<del>significa</del>	ant h	<del>arm</del>	to la	ands	<del>cap</del>	е
						the setting	g of A	<del>II Saint's (</del>	Church	<del>1 a</del>	and rura	<del>al vie</del>	ws 1	from	Que	ens	<del>}</del>
0 5 1				Wa		- I.											
Green Belt	aattlamant		-		Green E												
Community & dentities	settiement		+	VVIII	hin bui	it area											
Other:																	
				REASON FOR INCLUSION:  Allocated without planning permission  Sites with planning													
Call for Sites su	bmission			Allocated without planning permission Sites with planning permission permission													
Local Authority	owned land					Vithdrawn/		ng			Under		/ Va	cant			
000	1 1 2					s (2006 to d					sites						
Officer suggeste	ea - rurai site	s			er suggension	gested – po	otentia	ı urban			Other						1
PROPOSED	Housing	П	Retail		Empl	oyment		Leisure			Gypsy/ Travellir	oa			Oth	or	
USE:	riousing		Netali		Lilipid	Јуннени		Leisure			Showpe				Our	CI	
				•	WFD	C OFFICE	R VII	EWS:	•		•	•					
Character / vis	ual impact:	Р	otential 1	to en	hance	streetscer	ne, pro	ominent lo	cation	W	hen vie	ewed	froi	m ac	ross	;	
Vehicular acce	ess				Good			Reasonab	le			Poor					
				Wo	uld req	uire new a		s off Kidde		te	r Road						
Access to loca	I facilities				Good			Reasonab	le 🗆	]		Poor					
				wall	king di	stance to t	town	centre									
Public transpo	rt accessibi	lity			Good			Reasonab	le			Poor					
				Fre	quent k	ous service	e runs	s past site	ľ		•						
Suitability								red suitabl									
					stern pa ercours		oen s <sub>l</sub>	pace with f	footpa	th	/cycle p	oath a	alon	g op	ene	d up	)
Availability							nal ho	usebuilde	r								
Achievability				Dev Belt		ent is achi	ievab	le subject t	to land	d b	eing ta	ken	out	of th	e Gr	een	l
Potential times	scale and ca	ара	city			pproximat	ely 76	6 dwellings	3								